



# An identity relating Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of order $k$

Spiros D. Dafnis<sup>1</sup> Andreas N. Philippou<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics,  
University of Patras,  
Patras,  
GR 265-00, Greece*

Ioannis E. Livieris<sup>3</sup>

*Department of Computer & Informatics Engineering,  
Technological Educational Institute of Western Greece,  
Antirrio,  
GR 263-34, Greece*

---

## Abstract

The following relation between Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of order  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m^i \left[ L_i^{(k)} + (m-2)F_{i+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=3}^k (j-2)F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \right] = m^{n+1}F_{n+1}^{(k)} + k - 2,$$

is derived by means of colored tiling. This relation generalizes the well-known Fibonacci - Lucas identities,  $\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i L_i = 2^{n+1}F_{n+1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^n 3^i (L_i + F_{i+1}) = 3^{n+1}F_{n+1}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n m^i (L_i + (m-2)F_{i+1}) = m^{n+1}F_{n+1}$  of A.T. Benjamin and J.J. Quinn, D. Marques, and T. Edgar, respectively.

**Keywords:** Fibonacci numbers, Lucas numbers, order  $k$ , color tiling, generalization.

---

<sup>1</sup> Email: [dafnispyros@gmail.com](mailto:dafnispyros@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Email: [anphilip@math.upatras.gr](mailto:anphilip@math.upatras.gr)

<sup>3</sup> Email: [livieris@teiwest.gr](mailto:livieris@teiwest.gr)

## 1 Introduction

Let  $k$  be a fixed integer greater than or equal to 2, and let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer, unless otherwise specified. We define the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of order  $k$  as follows (see also [3, 5, 9–12]).

**Definition 1.1** The sequence  $\{F_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq -k+1}$  is said to be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers of order  $k$ , if  $F_n^{(k)} = 0$  for  $-k+1 \leq n \leq 0$ ,  $F_1^{(k)} = 1$ , and  $F_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k F_{n-j}^{(k)}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Definition 1.2** The sequence  $\{L_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is said to be the sequence of Lucas numbers of order  $k$ , if  $L_0^{(k)} = k$ ,  $L_1^{(k)} = 1$ ,  $L_n^{(k)} = n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} L_{n-j}^{(k)}$  for  $2 \leq n \leq k$ , and  $L_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k L_{n-j}^{(k)}$  for  $n \geq k+1$ .

Let  $F_n$  and  $L_n$  be the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively. Then,

$$F_n^{(2)} = F_n \quad \text{and} \quad L_n^{(2)} = L_n.$$

Benjamin and Quinn [1] used tiling and, among other identities, derived the following one (see also [2, 6, 13]),

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i L_i = 2^{n+1} F_{n+1}. \quad (1)$$

Recently, Marques [7] (see also Martinjak [8]) derived the new identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 3^i (L_i + F_{i+1}) = 3^{n+1} F_{n+1}, \quad (2)$$

and Edgar [4] stated and proved the following one encompassing both (1) and (2), that is

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m^i (L_i + (m-2)F_{i+1}) = m^{n+1} F_{n+1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 2.

In this paper, we employ a known lemma (see Lemma 2.1) and a new lemma (see Lemma 2.2) to derive the following generalization of the above identities

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m^i \left[ L_i^{(k)} + (m-2)F_{i+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=3}^k (j-2)F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \right] = m^{n+1} F_{n+1}^{(k)} + k - 2,$$

utilizing tiling with  $m$  colors and tiles of length at most  $k$ . Our proof depends on the following preliminary results.

## 2 Preliminary Results

We consider the numbers  $f_n^{(k)}$  and  $c_n^{(k)}$  of an  $n$ -board and an  $n$ -bracelet tiling, respectively, using  $j$ -squares,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . A  $j$ -square is a tile of length  $j$ . Notice that, an 1-square is simply called a *square* and a 2-square a *domino*. Then, the following lemma is a special case of Combinatorial Theorem 4 of Benjamin and Quinn [2] (see pp. 36).

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $f_n^{(k)}$  be the number of an  $n$ -board tilings using  $j$ -squares ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $F_n^{(k)}$  be the  $n$ -th Fibonacci number of order  $k$ . Then,  $f_n^{(k)} = F_{n+1}^{(k)}$ .*

Next, we state and prove a lemma of our own.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $c_n^{(k)}$  be the number of an  $n$ -bracelet tilings using  $j$ -squares ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $L_n^{(k)}$  be the  $n$ -th Lucas number of order  $k$ . Then,*

$$c_n^{(k)} = L_n^{(k)}.$$

**Proof.** We note that  $c_n^{(k)}$  is equal to the sum of the number of in phase  $n$ -bracelets and the number of out of phase  $n$ -bracelets. The number of in phase  $n$ -bracelets is  $f_n^{(k)}$ . An out of phase  $n$ -bracelet may end in a 2-square, or a 3-square, ..., or a  $k$ -square. The number of out of phase  $n$ -bracelets ending in a  $j$ -square ( $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ) is  $(j - 1)f_{n-j}^{(k)}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(k)} &= f_n^{(k)} + \sum_{j=2}^k (j - 1)f_{n-j}^{(k)} = F_{n+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=2}^k (j - 1)F_{n-j+1}^{(k)}, \text{ by Lemma 2.1} \\ &= F_{n+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=2}^k jF_{n-j+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=2}^k F_{n-j+1}^{(k)} = L_n^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

by Definition 1 and the relation  $L_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k jF_{n-j+1}^{(k)}$  for  $n \geq 1$  (see [3, 12]). □

We proceed now to state and prove our main result, using colored tiling.

## 3 Main result

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\{F_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq -k+1}$  and  $\{L_n^{(k)}\}$  be the Fibonacci sequence of order  $k$  and the Lucas sequence of order  $k$ , respectively. Then, for  $m \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m^i \left[ L_i^{(k)} + (m - 2)F_{i+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=3}^k (j - 2)F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \right] = m^{n+1}F_{n+1}^{(k)} + k - 2.$$

**Proof.** We consider colored tiling with  $m$  colors. Let  $A_{n,m}^{(k)}$  and  $B_{n,m}^{(k)}$  be the sets of an  $n$ -board colored tiling and an  $n$ -bracelet colored tiling, respectively, using  $j$ -squares ( $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ) in  $m^j$  different colors. Clearly,

$$|A_{n,m}^{(k)}| = m^n f_n^{(k)}, \quad \text{and} \quad |B_{n,m}^{(k)}| = m^n c_n^{(k)}. \tag{4}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that one of the first  $m$  colors is white, and we consider the following subsets of  $A_{n,m}^{(k)}$  and  $B_{n,m}^{(k)}$ .

- (a)  $A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}$  denotes the set of  $n$ -board colored tiling with a non-white  $j$ -square ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) ending on the  $i$ -th cell and white 1-squares on the cells  $i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).
- (b)  $B_{n,m}^{(k_l)}$  denotes the set of in-phase and out of phase  $n$ -bracelet colored tilings ending in an  $l$ -square,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$  (the distinction between in-phase and out of phase  $n$ -bracelet tilings originates from Benjamin and Quinn [1]).

Clearly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| = |A_{n,m}^{(k)}| - 1, \tag{5}$$

since the left hand-side of the equality does not include the unique all-white squares tiling of a board of length  $n$ .

Consider the subsets  $A_{n,m}^{(k,1_i)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $A_{n,m}^{(k)}$ . Removing white tiles from  $i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$  and gluing cells  $i$  and 1 together, we get  $i$ -bracelet colored tilings ending in squares of  $m - 1$  different colors ( $m \geq 2$ ). Since the bracelets ending in squares can end in  $m$  different colors, we obtain

$$|B_{i,m}^{(k_1)}| = \frac{m}{m-1} |A_{n,m}^{(k,1_i)}|. \tag{6}$$

Next, let us consider the following subsets  $A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}$  ( $j \leq i \leq n$  and  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ) of  $A_{n,m}^{(k)}$ . Removing white tiles from  $i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$  and gluing cells  $i$  and 1 together, we get  $i$ -bracelet colored tilings ending in  $j$ -squares. Taking into consideration that for each in-phase  $i$ -bracelet ending in a  $j$ -square (the  $j$ -square covers cells  $i - j + 1, i - j + 2, \dots, i$ ) there are  $j - 1$  more out of phase  $j$ -squares, we get

$$|B_{i,m}^{(k_j)}| = j |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}|, \tag{7}$$

where  $j \leq i \leq n$  and  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$ . Using (4) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |B_{i,m}^{(k)}| = \sum_{i=1}^n m^i c_i^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n m^i L_i^{(k)}. \tag{8}$$

Next, using (6) and (7) as well as (5) and the equality  $|A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| = m^j |A_{i-j,m}^{(k)}|$ , which holds true since the  $j$ -square can be tiled in  $m^j$  different ways and the rest of the board in  $|A_{i-j,m}^{(k)}|$  ways, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |B_{i,m}^{(k)}| &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^k |B_{i,m}^{(k_l)}| = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{m}{m-1} |A_{n,m}^{(k,1_i)}| + \sum_{j=2}^k j |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( m |A_{n,m}^{(k,1_i)}| + (m-1) \sum_{j=2}^k j |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( m |A_{n,m}^{(k,1_i)}| + \sum_{j=2}^k m |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| + \sum_{j=2}^k ((m-1)j - m) |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m-1} \left( m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^k ((j-1)m - j) |A_{n,m}^{(k,j_i)}| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m-1} \left( m (|A_{n,m}^{(k)}| - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^k m^j ((j-1)m - j) |A_{i-j,m}^{(k)}| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Noting that  $|A_{n,m}^{(k)}| = m^n F_{n+1}^{(k)}$  and  $|A_{i-j,m}^{(k)}| = m^{i-j} F_{i-j+1}^{(k)}$  because of (4) and Lemma 2.1, relations (8) and (9) imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m^i L_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{m-1} \left( m^{n+1} F_{n+1}^{(k)} - m + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^k m^i ((j-1)m - j) F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \right). \tag{10}$$

Rearranging relation (10) and using some simple calculations and the relations

$$L_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k j F_{n-j+1}^{(k)} \quad (n \geq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{i+1}^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \quad (i \geq 1),$$

we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m^i \left[ L_i^{(k)} + (m-2) F_{i+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=2}^k (j-2) F_{i-j+1}^{(k)} \right] = m^{n+1} F_{n+1}^{(k)} - m,$$

from which the theorem follows. □

We end this paper by noting that, for  $k = 2$ , Theorem 3.1 readily reduces to identity (3). If in addition  $m = 2$  (respectively 3), Theorem 3.1 reduces to identity (1) (respectively identity (2)).

## References

- [1] A.T. Benjamin and J.J. Quinn. Fibonacci and Lucas identities through colored tiling. *Utilitas Mathematica*, pages 137–142, 1999.
- [2] A.T. Benjamin and J.J. Quinn. *Proofs that really count: The art of combinatorial proof*. Number 27 in Dolciani. MAA Press, 2003.
- [3] Ch.A. Charalambides. Lucas numbers and polynomials of order  $k$  and the length of the longest circular success run. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 29:290–297, 1991.
- [4] T. Edgar. Extending some Fibonacci-Lucas relations. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 54(1):79–79, 2016.
- [5] E.P. Miles Jr. Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 67(8):745–752, 1960.
- [6] H. Kwong. An alternate proof of Sury’s Fibonacci–Lucas relation. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 121(6):514–514, 2014.
- [7] D. Marques. A new Fibonacci–Lucas relation. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 122(7):683–683, 2015.
- [8] I. Martinjak. Two extensions of the Sury’s identity. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 123(11):919–919, 2016.
- [9] A.N. Philippou. A note on the Fibonacci sequence of order  $k$  and multinomial coefficients. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 24(2):82–86, 1983.
- [10] A.N. Philippou. Distributions and Fibonacci polynomials of order  $k$ , longest runs, and reliability of consecutive- $k$ -out-of- $n$ : F systems. In G.E. Bergum A.N. Philippou and A.F. Horadam, editors, *Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications*, Reidel Publishing Company, pages 203–227, 1986.
- [11] A.N. Philippou. Fibonacci polynomials. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Invited contribution in Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Supplement III*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pages 152–154, 2001.
- [12] A.N. Philippou. Lucas polynomials. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Invited contribution in Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Supplement III*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pages 243–244, 2001.
- [13] B. Sury. A polynomial parent to a Fibonacci–Lucas relation. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 121(3):236, 2014.